# Mason-Dixon Polling & Research

# Texas Statewide Voter Survey on Horse Slaughtering

Commissioned by Fasig-Tipton Company, Inc.
The Thoroughbred Retirement Foundations, Inc.

## May 2003

## Voter Attitudes and Awareness:

- Awareness of Horse Slaughtering for Human Consumption
- Support/Opposition for Horse Slaughtering for Human Consumption
- Support/Opposition for legislative proposals to legalize Horse slaughtering for human consumption
- Value of Horses to Texas economy, heritage and culture
- How legislative candidate's position on issue effect voter's support for candidate

Conducted by Mason-Dixon Polling & Research 301-519-9688

#### **HOW THE POLL WAS CONDUCTED**

This poll was conducted by Mason-Dixon Polling & Research, Inc. of Washington, D.C. from May 4 through May 6, 2003. A total of 625 registered Texas voters were interviewed statewide by telephone. All stated they regularly vote in state elections.

Those interviewed were selected by the random variation of the last four digits of telephone numbers. A cross-section of exchanges was utilized in order to ensure an accurate reflection of the state. Quotas were assigned to reflect voter turn-out by county.

The margin for error, according to standards customarily used by statisticians, is no more than plus or minus 4 percentage points. This means that there is a 95 percent probability that the "true" figure would fall within that range if the entire population were sampled. The margin for error is higher for any subgroup, such as a regional or gender grouping.

-----

#### SAMPLE FIGURES:

#### Sex

Men 309 (49%) Women 316 (51%)

Race

Whites 389 (62%) Blacks 70 (11%) Hispanics 163 (26%) Other 3 (<1%)

**Party** 

Democrats 218 (35%) Republicans 238 (38%) Independents 169 (27%)

<u>N =</u>
69
131
156
81
100
88

#### **Findings**

- An overwhelming majority (89%) of Texas voters are unaware that horses are being slaughtered in the state for human consumption. Sixty-six percent state that "to the best of (their) knowledge" horses are not being slaughtered for human consumption, and 23% were not sure. Just 11% of voters knew that horses were being slaughtered for human consumption.
- A substantial majority of voters (72%) are opposed to the slaughter of horses for human consumption, while 23% expressed support.
- A greater majority of voters (77%) are opposed to changing state law to permit the slaughter of horses for human consumption. Just 16% of voters support the change in the law. These strong majorities of opposition were shared statewide within each demographic sub-group, including party identification.

In addition, the **opposition to a change in law was intense, with 62% of voters stating that they were strongly opposed**. Just 5% strongly favored the change in law, and 11% somewhat favoring a change in the law to permit horse slaughtering for human consumption.

Respondents were read the following arguments for and against the change in state law:

The slaughter house companies and their supporters argue that slaughtering horses is good for the Texas economy, its tax base and provides jobs.

Opponents argue that combined, the horse slaughter houses provide just 130 high turnover, undesirable minimum wage jobs and that the profits are sent overseas to the benefit of the French and Belgium owners.

Based on these arguments, just 4% of voters said that they were more likely to support the change in law to permit the slaughter of horses for human consumption, while 43% said that the two arguments would make them less likely to support a change in the law. Fifty-three percent of voters said that the arguments had no effect on their support for the change in the law.

• By an 8-1 margin, Texas voters associate the value of horses with Texas state culture, heritage and economy rather than the horses value as a simple livestock commodity like cattle.

**QUESTION:** Please tell which one of the following statements comes closest to your view: (ORDER ROTATED)

- **11%-** Horses, like cattle, are an important livestock commodity and should be treated as such, including slaughter for human consumption.
- 81%- Horses are an important part of Texas culture, heritage and economy and add tremendous value as companions and recreational animals. They should be afforded a more humane end of life than slaughter for food.
- Fully, 77% of voters said that they would be less likely to vote for a legislative candidate who supported the change in law to permit the slaughter of horses for human consumption. Again, there was strong intensity about the issue and it's effect on voters with 60% of voters stating that they would be "much" less likely to vote for a candidate who supported the change (17% somewhat less likely, 5% somewhat more likely and just 2% "much" more likely to vote for a candidate who supported the change).

## **Survey Results**

# QUESTION: To the best of your knowledge, are horses currently being slaughtered in Texas for human consumption?

	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>	NOT SURE
STATE	11%	66%	23%
REGION			
East Texas Houston Metro Dallas/Fort Worth Central Texas South Texas West Texas	12% 10% 11% 10% 9% 15%	64% 67% 64% 68% 66% 67%	24% 23% 25% 22% 25% 18%
<u>SEX</u>			
Men Women	13% 9%	66% 66%	21% 25%
AGE			
<45 45+	9% 13%	64% 67%	27% 20%
RACE			
Anglo Black Hispanic	12% 7% 10%	68% 65% 63%	20% 28% 27%
PARTY ID			
Democrat Republican Independent/Other	10% 11% 12%	65% 69% 66%	25% 20% 24%

## QUESTION: Do you favor or oppose the slaughter of horses for human consumption?

	<u>FAVOR</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
STATE	23%	72%	5%
REGION	<u>FAVOR</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
East Texas Houston Metro Dallas/Fort Worth Central Texas South Texas West Texas	26% 16% 23% 25% 25% 27%	67% 81% 72% 71% 67% 69%	7% 3% 5% 4% 8% 4%
SEX	<u>FAVOR</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
Men Women	27% 19%	66% 78%	7% 3%
<u>AGE</u>	<u>FAVOR</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
<45 45+	19% 26%	75% 70%	6% 4%
RACE	<u>FAVOR</u>	OPPOSE	UNDECIDED
Anglo Black Hispanic	25% 13% 23%	71% 80% 71%	4% 7% 6%
PARTY ID	<u>FAVOR</u>	<u>OPPOSE</u>	UNDECIDED
Democrat Republican Independent/Other	17% 24% 29%	78% 72% 65%	5% 4% 6%

Statement: Under Texas state law it is illegal to slaughter horses and export the meat for human consumption. However, two slaughter houses in the state of Texas, one owned by a French company, the other by a Belgium company, sought and were granted a temporary court injunction to slaughter horses for export and human consumption in foreign countries. These companies are also seeking changes in state law. As a matter of fact, a bill has been introduced in the Texas state legislature to legalize the slaughter and export of horse meat for human consumption to foreign countries like France, Belgium and Japan.

QUESTION: Do you favor or oppose changing state law to legalize the slaughter of horses and foreign export of horsemeat for human consumption? (Is that strongly favor/oppose or somewhat favor/oppose?)

STATE	STRONGLY FAVOR 5%	SOMEWHAT FAVOR 11%	TOTAL FAVOR 16%	SOMEWHAT OPPOSE 15%	STRONGLY OPPOSE 62%	TOTAL OPPOSE 77%	UND 7%
OI/IIL	070	1170	1070	1070	0270	1170	1 70
REGION							
East Texas	4%	15%	19%	16%	58%	74%	7%
Houston Metro	3%	9%	12%	14%	67%	81%	7%
Dallas/Fort Worth	5%	10%	15%	15%	62%	77%	8%
Central Texas	4%	12%	16%	15%	63%	78%	6%
South Texas	5%	12%	17%	13%	62%	75%	8%
West Texas	10%	11%	21%	17%	57%	74%	5%
SEX							
Men	7%	14%	21%	16%	56%	72%	7%
Women	3%	8%	11%	14%	68%	82%	7%
AGE							
<45	5%	7%	12%	17%	65%	82%	6%
45+	5%	14%	19%	13%	60%	73%	8%
RACE							
Anglo	4%	12%	16%	15%	62%	77%	7%
Black	3%	7%	10%	12%	70%	82%	8%
Hispanic	8%	11%	19%	16%	58%	74%	7%
PARTY ID							
Democrat	3%	8%	11%	13%	71%	84%	5%
Republican	7%	13%	20%	19%	44%	63%	8%
Independent/Other	5%	12%	17%	12%	63%	75%	8%

The slaughter house companies and their supporters argue that slaughtering horses is good for the Texas economy, its tax base and provides jobs.

Opponents argue that combined, the horse slaughter houses provide just 130 high turnover, undesirable minimum wage jobs and that the profits are sent overseas to the benefit of the French and Belgium owners.

QUESTION: Based on these two arguments, are you more likely or less likely to support changing Texas state law to permit the slaughtering of horses for export and human consumption?

	MORE <u>LIKELY</u>	LESS <u>LIKELY</u>	NO EFFECT
STATE	4%	43%	53%
REGION			
East Texas Houston Metro Dallas/Fort Worth Central Texas South Texas West Texas	3% 4% 4% 2% 5% 5%	41% 44% 41% 47% 44% 42%	56% 52% 55% 51% 51% 53%
SEX			
Men Women	6% 2%	38% 48%	56% 50%
AGE			
<45 45+	4% 4%	47% 40%	49% 56%
RACE			
Anglo Black Hispanic	4% 2% 6%	43% 53% 37%	53% 45% 57%
PARTY ID			
Democrat Republican Independent/Other	3% 6% 2%	46% 37% 48%	51% 57% 50%

# QUESTION: Please tell which one of the following statements comes closest to your view: (ORDER ROTATED)

- Horses, like cattle, are an important livestock commodity and should be treated as such, including slaughter for human consumption.
- Horses are an important part of Texas culture, heritage and economy and add tremendous value as companions and recreational animals. They should be afforded a more humane end of life than slaughter for food.

	<u>SLAUGHTER</u>	MORE <u>HUMANE</u>	NOT SURE
STATE	10%	81%	9%
REGION			
East Texas Houston Metro Dallas/Fort Worth Central Texas South Texas West Texas	11% 8% 11% 7% 10% 13%	77% 85% 79% 84% 82% 77%	12% 7% 10% 9% 8% 10%
SEX			
Men Women	15% 5%	78% 84%	7% 11%
<u>AGE</u>			
<45 45+	8% 12%	85% 77%	7% 11%
RACE			
Anglo Black Hispanic	9% 7% 14%	83% 87% 74%	8% 6% 12%
PARTY ID			
Democrat Republican Independent/Other	8% 10% 13%	82% 82% 78%	10% 8% 9%

QUESTION: Suppose a candidate for the state legislature supported a change in state law to permit the slaughter horses for export of horse meat for human consumption. Would that make you more likely or less likely to vote for that candidate? (Is that much more/less likely or somewhat more/less likely?)

STATE	MUCH MORE LIKELY 2%	SOMEWHAT MORE LIKELY 5%	TOTAL MORE LIKELY 7%	SOMEWHAT LESS LIKELY 17%	MUCH LESS LIKELY 60%	TOTAL LESS LIKELY 77%	DK 16%
REGION							
East Texas	2%	4%	6%	21%	58%	79%	15%
Houston Metro	1%	3%	4%	19%	64%	83%	13%
Dallas/Fort Worth	1%	7%	8%	16%	58%	74%	18%
Central Texas	2%	5%	7%	16%	64%	80%	13%
South Texas	3%	4%	7%	17%	59%	76%	17%
West Texas	5%	7%	12%	14%	55%	69%	19%
SEX							
Men	3%	7%	10%	15%	56%	71%	19%
Women	1%	3%	4%	19%	64%	83%	13%
AGE							
<45	2%	4%	6%	19%	65%	84%	10%
45+	2%	6%	8%	15%	56%	71%	21%
RACE							
Anglo	2%	5%	7%	19%	58%	77%	16%
Black	-	2%	2%	12%	65%	77%	21%
Hispanic	2%	7%	9%	14%	62%	76%	15%
PARTY ID							
Democrat	1%	3%	4%	13%	69%	82%	14%
Republican	2%	7%	9%	19%	56%	75%	16%
Independent/Other	3%	5%	8%	19%	54%	73%	19%